

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CLAUDIA STEMPIEN, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
ELI LILLY AND COMPANY and
MCKESSON CORPORATION,
Defendants.

NO. C06-1811 TEH

ORDER GRANTING MOTION
TO RELATE CASE AND ORDER
STAYING CASE NO. C07-1920

MICHAEL COONLEY, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
MCKESSON CORPORATION and
ELI LILLY AND COMPANY,
Defendants.

NO. C07-1920 JCS

These matters come before the Court on Defendant Eli Lilly and Company's motion to relate *Coonley, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 07-1920 JCS, to *Stempien, et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company, et al.*, Case No. 06-1811 TEH. Neither set of plaintiffs objected to Eli Lilly's motion, and the time for filing a response to the motion under Civil Local Rule 3-12 has now expired.

Having reviewed Eli Lilly's papers, the Court finds that the two cases meet the requirements for relation under Civil Local Rule 3-12, and Eli Lilly's motion to relate cases is therefore GRANTED. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12(f)(3), the Clerk shall reassign *Coonley* to the undersigned judge. Counsel are instructed that all future filings shall bear the initials "TEH" immediately after the case number.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that *Coonley* shall be STAYED for the reasons set forth
2 in this Court's May 4, 2006 order in *Stempien* (Case No. 06-1811, docket no. 26).

3
4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5
6 Dated: 04/17/07



THELTON E. HENDERSON, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT